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Abstract

Feature selection is a crucial pre-processing step used to remove redundant information from original datasets while preserving the accuracy and processing time of classifier. The feasibility of using metaheuristic search algorithms (MSAs) such as Flow Directional Algorithm (FDA) to solve feature selection problems is one of the active research topics. Similar with other MSAs, FDA also employs conventional initialization scheme that generates initial solutions in random basis. The absence of intelligent mechanisms in conventional initialize scheme tends to generate initial populations in local optima, hence compromising the performance of algorithm to handle datasets with complex features. In this paper, a modified algorithm known as Multi Chaotic Flow Directional Algorithm (MCFDA) is proposed to solve feature selection problems with enhanced performances by leveraging the strengths of multiple chaotic maps for population initialization. A total of 12 datasets from UCI Machine Learning Repository are selected for performance evaluation of MCFDA and another four peer algorithms to solve feature selection problems. The proposed MCFFA is revealed to deliver best performances by solving 7 out of 12 datasets with the best mean classification accuracy and 6 out of 12 datasets with the least numbers of selected features.
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1. Introduction

Due to the emerging of big data era, data-driven methods such as machine learning and deep learning have become more popular to solve various real-world problems. The performances of these data-driven methods depend on the quality of datasets used for model training. In the real-world scenario, redundant and noisy information tend to be observed from the input datasets and their presence tends to degrade the performance of data-driven methods. Feature selection[1] is a popular pre-processing method used to remove the undesirable information from original datasets without compromising the accuracy and speed of data-driven methods. Some popular applications of feature selection are fault detection[2],[3] and automatic modulation recognition[4],[5]. Feature selection is considered as a challenging NP-type optimization problem because it involves the optimal selection of feature subset from the original input datasets.

Existing feature selection can be divided into two main approaches, i.e., filter- and wrapper-based methods. For filter-based methods, the potential useful feature subsets are determined based on statistical characteristics of datasets. For wrapper-based methods, a data-driven classifier is incorporated along to carefully evaluate the quality of potential feature subsets. Both approaches have their pros and cons. Filter-based methods are more computationally efficient with lower accuracy. Opposite behaviors are found from wrapper-based methods.

Motivated by their promising characteristics such as strong global search and easy implementation, many metaheuristic search algorithm (MSAs) inspired by various natural phenomena[6] (e.g., theory of evolution, animal forgings behaviors, physics-based phenomena and human-based activities) are used to handle numerous
complex optimization problems[7,8,9,10,11] including the feature selection. Flow Directional Algorithm (FDA) is a physics-based algorithm proposed in 2021 by emulating the flow direction within a drainage basin. Similar with other MSAs, FDA generates its initial population in random basis without considering any intelligent mechanisms that can prevent the generation of initial solution in local optima[12]. This undesirable behavior can compromise the performance of FDA due to premature convergence.

A modified algorithm known as Multi-Chaotic Flow Directional Algorithm (MCFDA) is designed to solve feature selection problems competitively. Multiple chaotic maps with the ergodicity and non-repetitive characteristics are incorporated into initialization phase of MCFDA, aiming to generate initial solutions in the more promising solution regions. The performance of MCFDA to solve feature selection problems is evaluated using 12 datasets obtained from UCI Machine Learning Repository and compared with 4 peer algorithms.

2. Related Works

2.1. Inspiration of FDA

Excessive rainfall happens when the rainfall pours over the surface of ground and does not seep into the soil. Direct runoff refers to the additional water that is not absorbed after rainfall and losses. A method known as μ–index approach[13] is proposed based on this inspiration.

An index μ refers to the average number of water loss during precipitation with a unit of centimeter per hour, where this left-over water will change into runoff. The direct runoff value can be obtained by the difference of index μ at each interval of rainfall. The direct runoff $w_d$ can be calculated as:

$$w_d = \sum_{g=1}^{G} (W_g - \mu \Delta t) \tag{1}$$

where $\Delta t$ and $G$ refer as the length of each time interval and total number of time steps, respectively.

The movement of runoff towards the outlet of basin is influenced by the angle of slope and can be emulated by splitting the drainage basin into several set of cells. The slope height and angle in a surrounding cell can also affect the amount of runoff in each cell moving to other cells. D8 method[14] can be used to verify the variation of runoff direction. Accordingly, each cell consists of eight neighbors with unique height and distance. Comparison between the distance and height of each cell with its adjacent cells is used to distinguish the flow direction. Referring to all cell slopes obtained, the cell flows toward the adjacent cell with highest slope. The flow path is determined by using D8 for the basin. After the flow path is indicated, a variable corresponds to the number of cells that flow into that cell is defined. The greatest number is assigned to the outlet point of basin.

2.2. Feature Selection Optimization Problem

Feature selection is a bi-objective problem used to maximize the classification accuracy and minimize the numbers of selected features, simultaneously. The fitness function used to evaluate the quality of each solution $X$ during feature selection process is represented as:

$$f(X) = k z + g \left| \frac{F_z}{|F_o|} \right| \tag{2}$$

where $k \in [0, 1]$ and $g = 1 - k$ are the parameters used to represent the weightage of classification error $z$ and the length of selected feature subset $|F_z|$; $|F_o|$ refers to the length of original input dataset.

3. Proposed MCFDA

During the initialization phase, an initial chaotic variable $\sigma_0 \in [0, 1]$ is randomly generated for each $i$-th MCFDA solution. At any $k$-th iteration, the chaotic variable $\sigma_k$ can be updated with the chosen chaotic map, where $k = 1, \ldots, k_{max}$ and $k_{max}$ refers to the maximum iteration numbers. In this paper, four chaotic maps are used to update $\sigma_k$. If $\sigma_k < 0.25$, a circle map is selected. For $0.25 \leq \sigma_k < 0.5$, a Gauss map is used. Singer map is chosen to update chaotic variable if $0.5 \leq \sigma_k < 0.75$. Finally, Sinusoidal map is selected when $\sigma_k \geq 0.75$. The Circle, Gauss, Singer and Sinusoidal maps used to this study are presented in Eqs. (3), (4), (5) and (6), respectively, as follows:

$$\sigma_{k+1} = mod(\sigma_k + 0.2 - \frac{0.5}{2\pi}) \sin(2\pi \sigma_k), 1) \tag{3}$$

$$\sigma_{k+1} = \begin{cases} 1, & \sigma_k = 0 \\ \frac{1}{mod(\sigma_k, 1)}, & otherwise \end{cases} \tag{4}$$
\[
\sigma_{k+1} = 1.07(7086\sigma_k - 23.31\sigma_k^2 + 28.75\sigma_k^3
- 13.302875\sigma_k^4) \\
\sigma_{k+1} = c\sigma_k^2 \sin(\pi\sigma_k), \ c = 2.3
\]

At the final iteration of \(k_{\text{max}}\), the final chaotic variable \(\sigma_{(\text{final})}\) is obtained and used to initialize the \(d\)-th dimension of \(i\)-th MCFDA as follows:

\[
X_{i,d}^{\text{flow}} = X_{i,d}^{\text{LB}} + \sigma_{(\text{final})}(X_{i,d}^{\text{UB}} - X_{i,d}^{\text{LB}})
\]

where \(X_{i,d}^{\text{LB}}\) and \(X_{i,d}^{\text{UB}}\) represent the minimum and maximum values of \(d\)-th decision variable. As compared to the conventional initialization scheme described in Eq. (8), the ergodicity and non-repetition characteristics of chaotic map can produce more thorough search in the solution space and prevent premature attainment. The initial population of MCFDA with the population size \(I\) and dimensional size \(D\) is described using Eq. (7) for \(i = 1, \ldots, I\) and \(d = 1, \ldots, D\), before moving to next stage.

\[
X_{i,d}^{\text{flow}} = X_{i,d}^{\text{LB}} + r_1 \cdot (X_{i,d}^{\text{UB}} - X_{i,d}^{\text{LB}})
\]

During the iterative searching process, it is assumed that there are \(\beta\) neighborhoods around every flow, where the position is represented as shown:

\[
X_i^{\text{flow}} = r_2 \cdot \Delta + X_i^{\text{flow}}
\]

where \(X_i^{\text{flow}}\) is refer to the position of each \(j\)-th neighbour for every \(i\)-th MCFDA solution; \(X_i^{\text{flow}}\) is current position of \(i\)-th flow; \(r_2\) is a random number between 0 and 1 generated by uniform distribution; \(\Delta\) is a parameter used to control the behavior of MCFDA, i.e.,

\[
\Delta = (r_3 X_i^{\text{flow}} - r_4 X_i^{\text{flow}}) \cdot \left| X_{(\text{best})}^{\text{flow}} - X_i^{\text{flow}} \right| \cdot W
\]

where \(r_3\) and \(r_4\) are random numbers between 0 and 1 generated by uniform distribution; \(X_i^{\text{flow}}\) is a randomly flow obtained in related with Eq. (1); \(X_{(\text{best})}^{\text{flow}}\) is the flow position with the best (i.e., lowest) fitness; \(W\) is a nonlinear weight with random number, i.e.,

\[
W = \left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{\gamma_{\text{max}}} \right)^{2r_5} \cdot (\bar{r}_6 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\gamma_{\text{max}}} \cdot \bar{r}_7)
\]

where \(r_5\) is a random number between 0 and 1 generated by uniform distribution; \(\bar{r}_6\) and \(\bar{r}_7\) refer to a random number generated by normal distribution; \(\gamma\) and \(\gamma_{\text{max}}\) represent the current fitness evaluation number and maximum fitness evaluation number, respectively.

The velocity of flow \(V\) towards the neighbour with the lowest fitness and is related to the slope as shown:

\[
V = r_0 \cdot S_0
\]

where \(r_0\) is a random number between 0 and 1 generated by uniform distribution; \(S_0\) represents the slope vector between the \(i\)-th flow and its \(j\)-th neighbour, where

\[
S_0 = \frac{f(X_i^{\text{flow}}) - f(X_j^{\text{flow}})}{\left| X_i^{\text{flow}} - X_j^{\text{flow}} \right|}
\]

where \(f(X_i^{\text{flow}})\) and \(f(X_j^{\text{flow}})\) represent the fitness value of \(i\)-th flow and its \(j\)-th neighbour with position vectors of \(X_i^{\text{flow}}\) and \(X_j^{\text{flow}}\), respectively. The new position \(X_i^{\text{new}}\) of each \(i\)-th flow is calculated as:

\[
X_i^{\text{new}} = X_i^{\text{flow}} + V \cdot \frac{X_i^{\text{flow}} - X_j^{\text{flow}}}{\left| X_i^{\text{flow}} - X_j^{\text{flow}} \right|}
\]

When a randomly selected \(r\)-th neighbour has better fitness the current \(i\)-th flow, it will follow the \(r\)-th neighbour flow. Otherwise, the \(i\)-th flow will move in the dominant slope direction as shown below:

\[
X_i^{\text{new}} = \begin{cases} X_i^{\text{flow}} + r_0 (X_i^{\text{flow}} - X_j^{\text{flow}}), & \text{if } f(X_i^{\text{flow}}) < f(X_j^{\text{flow}}) \\ X_i^{\text{flow}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

The psuedocode of MCFDA to solve feature selection is explained in Fig. 1. The algorithm is repeated until the stopping condition of \(\gamma > \gamma_{\text{max}}\) is attained, where \(\gamma\) and \(\gamma_{\text{max}}\) represents current fitness evaluation number and maximum fitness evaluation number, respectively.

4. Performance Evaluation on MCFDA

4.1. Simulation Settings

The performance of MCAVOA to solve feature selection problem is evaluated with 12 datasets taken from UCI Machine Learning Repository[15], i.e., (a) Breast Cancer Wisconsin (Diagnostic), (b) Dermatology, (c) Statlog (Heart), (d) Ionosphere, (e) Iris, (f) Arrhythmia, (g) Echocardiogram, (h) Haberman’s Survival, (i) Diabetes, (j) MONK 1 Problem, (k) Zoo and (l) Letter Recognition. The performance of MCFDA is compared with four feature selection algorithms developed using Bezier Search Differential Evolution Algorithm (BeSD)[16], Coronavirus Herd Immunity Optimizer Algorithm (CHIO)[17], Flow Direction Algorithm (FDA)[18] and Improved Flame Generation Mechanism.
(ODSFMO)] [19]. The performances of all algorithms are measured with mean accuracy $\text{Acc}_\text{mean}$ and average number of selected features $\text{N}_{\text{feature}}$. Same population size of $t = 20$ and maximum fitness evaluation of $\tau_{\text{max}} = 2000$ are set for all algorithms. Each algorithm is simulated for 30 independent runs to solve datasets.

**Algorithm:** MCFDA-based Feature Selection Algorithm

**Inputs:** $i, j, y, y_{\text{max}}, X_{c}, X_{\text{BF}}$, $k$

1. Define fitness functions and input variables;
2. for each $i$-th flow do
3. for each $d$-th dimension do
4. while $k < k_{\text{max}}$ do
5. if $\sigma_{k} < 0.25$ then
6. Select Circle map using Eq. (3);
7. else if $0.25 \leq \sigma_{k} < 0.5$ then
8. Select Gauss map using Eq. (4);
9. else if $0.50 \leq \sigma_{k} < 0.75$ then
10. Select Singer map using Eq. (5);
11. else if $\sigma_{k} \geq 0.75$ then
12. Select Sinusoidal map using Eq. (6);
13. end if
14. Update $\sigma_{k+1}$ and $k := k + 1$;
15. end while
16. Initialize $X_{i}^{\text{flow}}$ based on Eq. (7);
17. end for
18. end for
19. Evaluate fitness of flows and select the best flow with lowest fitness value;
20. while $y < y_{\text{max}}$ do
21. for each $i$-th flow do
22. Update $Q$ based on Eq. (11);
23. for each $j$-th neighbour do
24. Update $\Delta$ and $X_{i}^{\text{flow}}$ with Eqs. (9) and (10);
25. Evaluate $f(X_{i}^{\text{flow}})$ using Eq. (2);
26. $y := y + 1$;
27. end for
28. Sort all $X_{i}^{\text{flow}}$ from best to worst fitness;
29. if $f(X_{i}^{\text{flow}}) < f(X_{j}^{\text{flow}})$ then
30. Calculate $W_{i}$ using Eq. (13);
31. Update each velocity flow $V_{i}$ with Eq. (12);
32. Limit velocity for $V_{i}$ within $[v_{\text{low}}, v_{\text{up}}]$;
33. Calculate $X_{i}^{\text{newf}}$ using Eq. (14);
34. end if
35. Generate random integer number of $r$;
36. Calculate $X_{i}^{\text{newf}}$ using Eq. (15);
37. end if
38. Evaluate $f(X_{i}^{\text{newf}})$ using Eq. (2);
39. $y := y + 1$;
40. Update current flow and best flow;
41. end for
42. end while

**Output:** $X_{\text{best}}$ (i.e., optimal feature subset)

Fig. 1. Feature selection with MCFDA.

4.2 Comparisons between competing algorithms

It is desirable for a compared algorithm to produce higher $\text{Acc}_\text{mean}$ and lower $\text{N}_{\text{feature}}$ when solving feature selection problem. Boldface style value in Table 1 and Table 2 is categorized as the best result, while the second-best result is presented in underlined and italic fronts. Table 1 shows that MCFDA has the highest accuracy by producing seven best $\text{Acc}_\text{mean}$, followed by BeSD and CHIO with four best $\text{Acc}_\text{mean}$, ODSFMFO with three best $\text{Acc}_\text{mean}$ and FDA with one best $\text{Acc}_\text{mean}$. Table 2 shows that the proposed MCFDA solve 6 out of 12 datasets with best $\text{N}_{\text{feature}}$, followed by FDA with three best $\text{N}_{\text{feature}}$, BeSD and CHIO with two best $\text{N}_{\text{feature}}$ and ODSFMFO without any best $\text{N}_{\text{feature}}$.

**Table 1. Comparison of $\text{Acc}_\text{mean}$**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>BeSD</th>
<th>CHIO</th>
<th>FDA</th>
<th>ODSFMFO</th>
<th>MCFDA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>0.972</td>
<td>0.968</td>
<td>0.930</td>
<td>0.955</td>
<td>0.973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>0.981</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>0.838</td>
<td>0.854</td>
<td>0.910</td>
<td>0.810</td>
<td>0.912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d)</td>
<td>0.901</td>
<td>0.951</td>
<td>0.944</td>
<td>0.900</td>
<td>0.925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f)</td>
<td>0.687</td>
<td>0.736</td>
<td>0.673</td>
<td>0.605</td>
<td>0.772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(g)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.979</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(h)</td>
<td>0.836</td>
<td>0.828</td>
<td>0.830</td>
<td>0.787</td>
<td>0.705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.742</td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td>0.789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(j)</td>
<td>0.933</td>
<td>0.906</td>
<td>0.913</td>
<td>0.913</td>
<td>0.875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(k)</td>
<td>0.888</td>
<td>0.955</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.987</td>
<td>0.850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(l)</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>0.951</td>
<td>0.950</td>
<td>0.958</td>
<td>0.958</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Comparison of $\text{N}_{\text{feature}}$**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>BeSD</th>
<th>CHIO</th>
<th>FDA</th>
<th>ODSFMFO</th>
<th>MCFDA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>15.93</td>
<td>9.17</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>18.33</td>
<td>5.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>16.57</td>
<td>14.53</td>
<td>12.20</td>
<td>22.90</td>
<td>13.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>8.10</td>
<td>5.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d)</td>
<td>15.90</td>
<td>13.80</td>
<td>10.27</td>
<td>16.87</td>
<td>9.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e)</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f)</td>
<td>134.73</td>
<td>112.17</td>
<td>131.47</td>
<td>155.87</td>
<td>126.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(g)</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(h)</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>4.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(j)</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(k)</td>
<td>7.63</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>9.97</td>
<td>4.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(l)</td>
<td>7.87</td>
<td>10.57</td>
<td>11.60</td>
<td>15.33</td>
<td>11.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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5. Conclusion

In this paper, a modified algorithm known as MCFDA is introduced to solve feature selection problems more competitively. The initial population of MCFDA with better solution quality is produced by using the ergodicity and non-repetition characteristics of multiple chaotic maps are used in the initialization to improve the initial position. This is followed by the search processes with balanced exploration and exploitation searches as inspired by the rainfall behavior. A total of 12 datasets are selected to evaluate the performance of MCFDA and its peer algorithms to solve feature selection problems. Based on the simulation results, it is concluded that MCFDA can surpass other competing feature selection algorithms with better classification accuracy and lesser numbers of selected features when solving majority of selected datasets.
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