Consensus Control of Linear Discrete-time Multi-agent Systems with Limited Communication Data Rate

Jintao Hu, Yingmin Jia*, Yaxin Li

The Seventh Research Division and the Center for Information and Control, School of Automation Science and Electrical Engineering, Beihang University (BUAA), 37 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China E-mail: hujintao@buaa.edu.cn, ymjia@buaa.edu.cn

www.buaa.edu.cn

Abstract

This paper investigates the consensus problem of linear discrete-time multi-agent systems with limited communication data rate and the cooperative-antagonistic interactions. A consensus control protocol is designed based upon a dynamic encoding-decoding mechanism. By means of the proposed control protocol, it is guaranteed that the agents can attain bipartite consensus if the signed undirected graph is connected and structurally balanced/unbalanced. Moreover, the clear form of the convergence rate is given.

Keywords: Multi-agent systems, signed undirected graph, bipartite consensus, structural balance, encoding and decoding

1. Introduction

Consensus is one of the hottest topics in the field of multiagent systems (MASs) due to its wide application in lighting. Many important and interesting problems about consensus have been studied in recent years.

In many relevant works, it's assumed that the agents can achieve exact information of the states of the neighbors by local communication. However, in practice, the communication ability of the network is limited. Thus, the encoding and decoding scheme is introduced to deal with this problem¹⁻³.

In addition, most of the works in the literature assume that the relationship between agents is cooperative. However, cooperation often coexists with antagonism. By utilizing the property of structural balance/unbalance of communication networks, the bipartite consensus is introduced where all gents attain agreement concerning a value, which is same for all in modulus but not in sign⁴⁻⁵.

Motivated by the above discussion, we consider a consensus control protocol based on a dynamic encoding-decoding mechanism. The agents can achieve bipartite consensus under the control scheme. What's more, the clear form of the convergence rate is given.

2. Preliminaries and Problem Formulation

2.1. Signed Graph

Let $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{E},\mathcal{A})$ be a signed undirected graph, where $\mathcal{V} = \{v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_N\}$ is a set of N agents with i denoting the ith agent, $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}$ is the edge set of agents, and $\mathcal{A} = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ with the signed elements is the weighted adjacency matrix of \mathcal{G} . The set of agents who

O The 2022 International Conference on Artificial Life and Robotics (ICAROB2022), January 20 to 23, 2022

^{*}Corresponding author.

can communicate with agent i is denoted by $\mathcal{N}_i = \{j \in \mathcal{V} \mid (i,j) \in \mathcal{E}\}$. The Laplacian matrix of \mathcal{G} is represented by $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{A}$, where $\mathcal{C} = diag(\sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} \left| a_{1j} \right|, \cdots, \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} \left| a_{Nj} \right|)$. A signed graph \mathcal{G} is structurally balanced if the nodes in \mathcal{V} are divided into two parts $\{\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2\}$, where $\mathcal{V}_1 \cup \mathcal{V}_2 = \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{V}_1 \cap \mathcal{V}_2 = \emptyset$, bring $a_{ij} \geq 0 \quad \forall i,j \in \mathcal{V}_p \left(p \in \{1,2\}\right)$, $a_{ij} \leq 0 \quad \forall v_i \in \mathcal{V}_p$, $v_j \in \mathcal{V}_q$, $p \neq q \left(p,q \in \{1,2\}\right)$, otherwise, the signed graph \mathcal{G} is structurally unbalanced.

2.2. Problem Formulation

In this paper, we consider the bipartite consensus control for a multi-agent system with the discrete-time dynamics

$$x_{i}(k+1) = Ax_{i}(k) + Bu_{i}(k)$$
 (1)

Where $x_i(k) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $u_i(k) \in \mathbb{R}$, respectively, represent the state and input of the agent i at time k. $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times l}$ denote the state and input matrix.

A uniform quantizer is utilized to quantize the state of agent. The quantizer q(x) is defined as follows:

$$q(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & -1/2 \le x \le 1/2 \\ b, & (2b-1)/2 < x \le (2b+1)/2, \\ b = 1, \dots, L-1 \\ L, & x > (2L-1)/2 \\ -q(-x), & x < -1/2 \end{cases}$$
 (2)

Obviously, the level of the quantizer is 2L+1. When $|x| \le L+1/2$, the quantization error of the uniform quantizer satisfies $|x-q(x)| \le 1/2$. Assume that the information transmitted between agents only can be binary number, but not exact value. Thus, the corresponding encoder and decoder are designed. The encoder of agent i is proposed as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \hat{\phi}_{i}(k) = l(k-1)Z_{i}(k) + A\hat{\phi}_{i}(k-1), \hat{\phi}_{i}(0) = 0 \\ Z_{i}(k) = Q(\frac{x_{i}(k) - A\hat{\phi}_{i}(k-1)}{l(k-1)}) \end{cases}$$
(3)

Where $\hat{\phi}_i(k)$ and $Z_i(k)$ are the internal variable and output of the encoder, respectively. Apart from this, $Q(\cdot) = [q(\cdot), \cdots, q(\cdot)]^T$ is the product quantizer. $l(k) = l_0 r^k$ is the scaling function, where $l_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r \in (0,1)$, which prevents the quantizer from saturation. The decoder of agent j which receive the information from agent i is designed as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \hat{\varphi}_{ji}(0) = 0 \\ \hat{\varphi}_{ji}(k) = l(k-1)Z_{i}(k) + A\hat{\varphi}_{ji}(k-1) \end{cases}$$
 (4)

Where $\hat{\varphi}_{ji}(k)$ which is connected with agent i is the internal variable of the decoder of agent j.

The objective of this paper is to propose a distributed control scheme based on dynamic encoding and decoding, such that the MASs achieve bipartite consensus when communicate graph is structurally balanced/unbalanced.

For this purpose, we consider the following protocol

$$\hat{u_i}(\hat{k}) = K \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} \left| a_{ij} \left| (\hat{\phi_i}(k) - \operatorname{sgn}(a_{ij}) \hat{\phi_{ij}}(\hat{k})) \right| \right|$$
 (5)

Where $K \in \mathbb{R}^{md}$ is the control gain and $sgn(\cdot)$ represents the signum function.

In order to facilitate the subsequent analysis, we give the following definition and assumption.

Definition 1. The consensus of Multi-agent systems can be achieved, if there exists the control law such that

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} ||x_i(k) - \operatorname{sgn}(a_{ii}) x_i(k)|| = 0$$

For any initial state $x_i(0)$, $\forall i \in [1, N]$.

Assumption 1. $||x_i(0)||_{\infty} \le C_x$, $\forall i \in [1, N]$ and C_x can be achieved by all agents.

3. Main Results

3.1. Structurally balanced graph

To get the main result, we need divide the agents into two groups $d_i \in \{1, -1\}$ $i = 1, \dots, N$, where the agents are in the same group when they are cooperating, i.e., $a_{ij} > 0$.

The relevant lemmas are given as follows:

Lemma 1. For a connected signed and structurally balanced graph, there always exists a diagonal matrix $D = diag(d_1, \dots, d_N)$ such that all elements of DAD is nonnegative, and $0 = \lambda_1(\mathcal{L}) < \lambda_2(\mathcal{L}) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_N(\mathcal{L})$.

Lemma 2. For any $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we can obtain that $\|P^k\| \le M\eta^k$, $\forall k \ge 0$, where $M = \sqrt{n}(1+2/\varepsilon)^{n-1}$ and $\eta = \rho(P) + \varepsilon \|P\|$.

Theorem 1. Consider the multi-agent system (1) with the structurally balanced and connected signed undirected communication network. Suppose that (A,B) is controllable, $\prod_j |\lambda_j(A)| < (1+\lambda_2/\lambda_N)/(1-\lambda_2/\lambda_N)$ and Assumption 1 holds. Select appropriate control gain K such that $\rho(J(K)) < 1$, where $J(K) = diag(A, A+\lambda_2BK,\cdots,A+\lambda_NBK)$. For any $r \in (\rho(J(K)),1)$ and $\sigma \in (0,(r-\rho(J(K)))/\|J(K)\|)$, let

$$M(K,r) = \frac{\|A\|_{\infty} + 2d^* \|BK\|_{\infty}}{2r} + \frac{n^{3/2} \lambda_N^2 M \sqrt{N} \|BK\|_{\infty}^2}{r^2 (1-\eta)}$$

and for any L > M(K,r)-1/2, let

$$l_0 > \max\{\frac{\|A\|_{\infty} C_x}{L + 1/2}, \frac{4r^2 C_x (1 - \eta)}{\sqrt{n} \lambda_N \|BK\|_{\infty}}\}$$

Then under the proposed control scheme (5) given by (2), (3) and (4), the closed-loop system satisfies the bipartite average consensus

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} x_i(k) = d_i \sum_{i=1}^{N} d_i x_j(0), \ i = 1, \dots, N$$

Proof. Let the signed average state error be $\delta_i(k) \triangleq x_i(k) - d_i x_{ave}(k)$, where $x_{ave}(k) \triangleq 1/N \sum_{i=1}^N d_i x_i(k)$ is the signed average state of the MASs. It yields that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \|\delta_i(k)\| \le 1/N \sum_{i=1}^N \lim_{k \to \infty} \|x_i(k) - \operatorname{sgn}(a_{ij})x_j(k)\|$$
 (6)

Simultaneously, $\lim_{k\to\infty} \|\mathcal{S}_i(k)\| = 0$ also can implies the consensus of the MAS. Thus, the bipartite consensus of structurally balanced network is equivalent to $\lim_{k\to\infty} \|\mathcal{S}_i(k)\| = 0$, for all $i \in [1,N]$.

According to the encoder and decoder, it can be achieved that $\hat{\varphi}_{ij}(k) \equiv \hat{\phi}_{j}(k)$, $j \in \mathcal{N}_{i}$, $\forall i \in [1, N]$ easily. Let the estimation error be $e_{i}(k) = x_{i}(k) - \hat{\phi}_{i}(k)$. Inserting the controller into the linear discrete system, we have

$$x_i(k+1) = Ax_i(k) + BK \sum_{j=1}^{N} |a_{ij}| (x_i(k) - \operatorname{sgn}(a_{ij})x_j(k))$$

$$-BK\sum_{i=1}^{N} |a_{ii}| (e_{i}(k) - \operatorname{sgn}(a_{ii})e_{i}(k)) \tag{7}$$

Choose $X(k) = [x_1^T(k), \dots, x_N^T(k)]^T$, $E(k) = [e_1^T(k), \dots, e_N^T(k)]^T$ and $\Delta(k) = [\delta_1^T(k), \dots, \delta_N^T(k)]^T$. Then

 $X(k+1) = (I_{N} \otimes A + \mathcal{L} \otimes BK)X(K) - (\mathcal{L} \otimes BK)E(K)$ (8) $\Delta(K+1) = (I_{N} \otimes A + \mathcal{L} \otimes BK)\Delta(K) - (\mathcal{L} \otimes BK)E(K)$ (9)

Denote
$$\Phi(k) = [\phi_1^T(k), \dots, \phi_N^T(k)]^T$$
. Then $X(k+1) - (I_N \otimes A)\Phi(k)$

$$= (I_N \otimes A - \mathcal{L} \otimes BK)E(k) + (\mathcal{L} \otimes BK)\Delta(k) \quad (10)$$

This together with (3) leads to

$$E(k+1) = (I_{N} \otimes A - \mathcal{L} \otimes BK)E(k) + (\mathcal{L} \otimes BK)\Delta(k)$$

$$-l(k)Q(((I_{N}\otimes A-\mathcal{L}\otimes BK)E(k)+(\mathcal{L}\otimes BK)\Delta(k))/l(k))$$
 (11)

Let $R(k) = \Delta(k)/l(k)$ and S(k) = E(k)/l(k). Next, we will prove that the quantizer is never saturated.

From Assumption 1, we can see that

$$\|(I_{N} \otimes A - \mathcal{L} \otimes BK)S(0) + (\mathcal{L} \otimes BK)R(0)\|_{\infty}$$

$$\leq \|A\|_{\infty} C_{N} / l_{0} < L + 1/2$$
(12)

Thus, the quantizer is unsaturated at time k=0. In order to demonstrate the feasibility of the quantizer, mathematical induction is utilized. Assume that the quantizer is unsaturated for time [0,k], i.e., $\sup_{1 \le i \le k+1} \|S(i)\|_{\infty} \le 1/2r$.

Choose $\xi_i \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $\xi_i^T \mathcal{L} = \lambda_i \xi_i^T$. The unitary matrix $\Xi = [D1_N / \sqrt{N}, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_N]$ is introduced such that $\Xi^T \mathcal{L}\Xi = diag(0, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_N)$. It is easy to derive that

$$(\Xi \otimes I_{n})^{T} (I_{N} \otimes A + \mathcal{L} \otimes BK) (\Xi \otimes I_{n}) = J(K)$$
(13)

Let $\tilde{R}(k) = (\Xi \otimes I_n)^T R(k)$ and $\tilde{S}(k) = (\Xi \otimes I_n)^T (\mathcal{L} \otimes BK)S(k)$, then

$$\tilde{R}(k+1) = r^{-1}J(K)\tilde{R}(k) - r^{-1}\tilde{S}(k)$$
 (14)

Separate $\tilde{R}(k)$ into two parts $[\tilde{R}_1^T(k), \tilde{R}_2^T(k)]^T$, where $\tilde{R}_1(k) = \mathbf{0}_n$ represents the first n elements of $\tilde{R}(k)$. Similarly, $\tilde{S}(k)$ is in two parts $[\tilde{S}_1^T(k), \tilde{S}_2^T(k)]^T$. Then

$$\tilde{R}_{2}(k+1) = \left(\frac{J(K)}{r}\right)^{k+1} \tilde{R}_{2}(0) - \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=0}^{k} \left(\frac{J(K)}{r}\right)^{k-i} \tilde{S}_{2}(i) (15)$$

Combine Lemma 2 and the condition given in Theorem 1, we can get that $\left\| \left(J(K)/r \right)^k \right\| \leq M \eta^k$, where $M = \sqrt{n(N-1)}(1+2/\varepsilon)^{n(N-1)-1}$ and $\eta = \rho(J(K)/r) + \varepsilon \|J(K)/r\| < 1$. This together with (15) leads to

$$\|\tilde{R}_{2}(k+1)\| \leq M\eta^{k+1} \|\tilde{R}_{2}(0)\| + (1/r)M\sum_{i=0}^{k} \eta^{k-i} \|\tilde{S}_{2}(i)\|$$
 (16)

And given $\|\tilde{R}_{2}(0)\| = \|R(0)\| \le 2\sqrt{Nn}C_{x}/l_{0}$, we have $(1/r)M\sum_{i=0}^{k}\eta^{k-i}\|\tilde{S}_{2}(i)\|$

$$\leq n\sqrt{N}\lambda_{N}M\|BK\| (1-\eta^{k+1})/(2r^{2}(1-\eta))$$
 (17)

Since $\eta \in (0,1)$, (16) can be rewrote as

$$\|\tilde{R}_{2}(k+1)\| < \max\{\frac{2M\sqrt{Nn}C_{x}}{l_{x}}, \frac{n\sqrt{N}\lambda_{N}M\|BK\|_{\infty}}{2r^{2}(1-n)}\}$$
 (18)

Noting that $l_0 > 4r^2C_x(1-\eta)/(\sqrt{n}\lambda_N \|BK\|_{\infty})$, we have $\|(I_N \otimes A - \mathcal{L} \otimes BK)S(k+1) + (\mathcal{L} \otimes BK)R(k+1)\|_{\infty}$

$$< L+1/2$$
 (19)

Thus, the 2L+1 level uniform quantizer will not be saturated for time $k \ge 0$.

Considering $||R(0)||_{\infty} \leq 2C_x / l_0$, then

$$\sup_{k \ge 0} \|R(k)\|_{\infty} < \max\{\frac{2C_{x}}{l_{0}}, \frac{n\sqrt{N}\lambda_{N}M \|BK\|_{\infty}}{2r^{2}(1-\eta)}\} < \infty \quad (20)$$

According to the definition of R(k) and 0 < r < 1, it implies that $\lim_{k \to \infty} \|\Delta(k)\|_{\infty} = 0$, so the multi-agent system (1) can realize the bipartite average consensus.

Define the bipartite average consensus convergence rate as $r_{conv} = \sup_{\Delta(0)\neq 0} \lim_{k\to\infty} \left(\|\Delta(k)\| / \|\Delta(0)\| \right)^{1/k}$. From (16) and (17), for $\forall \Delta(0) \neq 0$, we get

$$\frac{\left\|\Delta(k+1)\right\|}{\left\|\Delta(0)\right\|} \le M \left(r\eta\right)^{k+1} + \frac{l_0 n \sqrt{N} \lambda_N M \left\|BK\right\|_{\infty}}{2r(1-\eta) \left\|\Delta(0)\right\|} r^{k} \quad (21)$$

Take the natural logarithm on both sides

$$\ln\left(\frac{\|\Delta(k+1)\|}{\|\Delta(0)\|}\right) \le \ln\left(\frac{l_0 n \sqrt{N} \lambda_N M \|BK\|_{\infty}}{2r(1-\eta)\|\Delta(0)\|} r^k\right) + \ln(1+o(1))$$

$$= k \ln r + O(1), k \to \infty$$
(22)

Thus.

 $\textcircled{\textbf{@} \textit{The 2022 International Conference on Artificial Life and Robotics (ICAROB2022), January 20 \ to \ 23, 2022}}$

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \left(\frac{\|\Delta(k+1)\|}{\|\Delta(0)\|} \right)^{1/(k+1)} \le \exp\left(\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{k+1} k \ln r + O(1) \right) = r (23)$$

i.e., the bipartite average consensus convergence rate satisfies $r_{conv} \le r$.

3.2. Structurally unbalanced graph

The main result in this subsection is similar to Theorem 1. Please refer to theorem 1 for specific proof.

4. Conclusion

The consensus control problem of multi-agent systems with limited communication rate based on the signed connected undirected network topology is solved in this paper. For structurally balanced and unbalanced topology, the bipartite average consensus can be achieved by employing the proposed control scheme, respectively. In addition, the bipartite average consensus convergence rate is given explicitly.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the NSFC (62133001, 61520106010) and the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program: 2012CB821201).

References

- T. Li, M. Fu, L. Xie, J. Zhang: Distributed Consensus with Limited Communication Data Rate, IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, vol. 56, No.2, pp.279-292, 2011.
- K. You, L. Xie: Network Topology and Communication Data Rate for Consensusability of Discrete-Time Multiagent Systems, IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, vol. 56, No.10, pp.2262-2275, 2011.
- 3. Y. Meng, T. Li, J. Zhang: Coordination over Multi-agent Networks with Unmeasurablr States and Finite-Level Quantization, IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, vol. 62, No.9, pp.4647-4653, 2011.
- C. Altafini: Consensus Problems on Networks with Antagonistic Interactions, IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, vol. 58, No.4, pp.935-946, 2013.
- Y. Zhu, S. Li, J. Ma, Y. Zheng: Bipartite Consensus in Networks of Agents with Antagonistic Interactions and Quantization, IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems, vol. 65, No.12, pp.2012-2016, 2018.

Authors Introduction

Mr. Jintao Hu



He received the B.S. degree in automation in 2019 from Chongqing University in China. He is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree with the Seventh Research Division and the Center for Information and Control, School of Automation Science and Electrical Engineering, Beihang University.

Prof. Yingmin Jia



He received the B.S. degree in control theory from Shandong University, China, in 1982, and the M.S. and Ph.D. degrees both in control theory and applications from Beihang University, China, in 1990 and 1993, respectively. Then, he joined the Seventh Research Division at Beihang

University where he is currently Professor of automatic control. From February 1995 until February 1996 he was a Visiting Professor with the Institute of Robotics and Mechatronics of the German Aerospace Center (DLR), Oberpfaffenhofen, Germany. He held an Alexander von Humboldt (AvH) research fellowship with the Institute of Control Engineering at the Technical University Hamburg-Harburg, Hamburg, Germany, from December 1996 until March 1998, and a JSPS research fellowship with the Department of Electrical and Electronic Systems at the Osaka Prefecture University, Osaka, Japan, from March 2000 until March 2002. He was a Visiting Professor with the Department of Statistics at the University of California Berkeley from December 2006 until March 2007. Dr. Jia was the recipient of the National Science Fund for Distinguished Young Scholars in 1996, and was appointed as Chang Jiang Scholar of the Ministry of Education of China in 2004. He has been Chief Scientist of the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program) since 2011, and in particular he won the Second Prize of National Technology Invention Award in 2015. His current research interests include robust control, adaptive control and intelligent control, and their applications in robots systems and distributed parameter systems.

Mr. Yaxin Li



He received the B.S. degree in automation from Shandong University in 2019. He is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree with the Seventh Re-search Division and the Center for Information and Control, School of Automation Science and Electrical Engineering, Beihang University.