Fixed Column Primer for Boolean Matrix Multiplication with DNA Computing

N. Rajaee¹, H. Aoyagi¹ and O. Ono¹

¹Institute of Applied DNA Computing, Meiji University 1-1-1 Higashimita, Tamaku, Kawasaki, Kanagawa, 214-8571 Japan ¹ (nrajaee, ce77002, ono)@isc.meiji.ac.jp

Abstract: In our previous work, we implemented an in vitro Boolean matrix multiplication with DNA computing. Ho wever, with the increase in the problem size, we realize the material consumption and experimental work required to co mpute the problem with DNA increases drastically. Thus in this paper, we modify our previous algorithm to reduce th e material consumption and the labour intensiveness of the computation.

Keywords: DNA Computing, Boolean Matrix Multiplication, Parallel Overlap Assembly

I. INTRODUCTION

Using DNA for computation has gathered interest in many fields of application due to its massive parallel processing capability. With high density and low energy dispersion, DNA can compute up to 10^{14} maximum operation per second in a single test tube [1]. Such characteristics of DNA computing made it an alternative for solving NP hard problems which require brute force in traditional computing. However, several drawbacks of DNA computing became obvious after its implementation in laboratory works – one of which is the exponential growth of DNA volume required to solve a problem according to its problem size.

In our previous work, we represented the matrix multiplication problem as a directed graph problem and synthesize DNA oligonucleotides to represent the vertices and edges in the graph. However, it shows that for a larger N×N Boolean matrix multiplication with DNA, the volume of the DNA increases and the number of experimental work becomes tedious and impractical to be considered as a viable technology [2,3]. Thus, in this paper we propose a strategy to reduce the experimental protocols and the material consumption for solving a larger set of Boolean matrix multiplication with DNA computing by using a fixed primer to represent the whole set of row indicators for the product matrix.

II. BOOLEAN MATRIX MULTIPLICATION

Boolean matrix multiplication is a fundamental operation that is used in many scientific areas of

research such as linear algebra, signal processing, digital control and graph theory.

Consider a binary matrix Y with dimension $m \times n$. Matrix $Y=A \cdot B$ can be represented as a product of two matrices A and B with dimensions $m \ x \ k$ and $k \ x \ n$ respectively. Two Boolean matrices and its product can further be represented by a graph problem [Kim]. The row indicators for the first matrix A and the product matrix Y are represented as initial vertices; the column indicators for the second matrix B and the product matrix Y are represented as terminal vertices; and the column indicators for the first matrix A and the row indicators for the second matrix B are represented as intermediate vertices. For all elements of value 1 in the matrices A and B, a directed edge is drawn for the corresponding initial vertex-intermediate vertex or intermediate vertex-terminal vertex intersections. Elements of value 1 in the product matrix Y is determined by the existence of a "path" which is a continuous linkage of directed edges from an initial vertex to a terminal vertex.

III. COMPUTING BOOLEAN MATRICES WITH DNA

In our previous work, we implemented a Boolean matrix multiplication problem with DNA computing. Let two Boolean matrices A and B and its multiplication product Y, be represented by a graph problem G. We implemented the matrix multiplication problem with a 5 step algorithm:

(*Step1*) Sequence designs for V and E in G. (*Step2*) Generate an initial pool.

(Step3) Determine Primers for Filtering.

(*Step 4*) Remove unwanted strands/Mass Copying. (*Step 5*) Identify strands.

Basic bio-molecular tools used for the computation are briefly discussed as follows:

Parallel Overlap Assembly (POA) is used to generate an initial pool containing all possible solutions to the problem. *Polymerase Chain Reaction* (PCR) is a technique which uses a pair of DNA sequences known as "primers" to signal the start point and end point for a specific DNA sequence for mass amplification. *Gel electrophoresis* is used to identify the results.

We generate randomly unique single stranded DNA sequences to represent all vertices in graph G. The DNA sequences for directed edges are designed to be connector strands from an initial vertex to an intermediate vertex or from an intermediate vertex to a terminal vertex. The primers for filtering are determined from the row and column indicators for the product matrix Y to amplify only "path" strands during the mass copying. The elements in the product matrix are represented bv test tubes containing primer combinations for each row and column. The value of the elements in the product matrix is identified from the constructed solution "paths" viewed in the gel electrophoresis process which yield highlighted bands for amplified "path" strands.

Computation of Boolean matrix multiplication with DNA normally requires an m + n number of primers to be used as row and column indicators in the product matrix; and an $m \times n$ number of test tubes to represent all the elements in the product matrix. Thus, for a 10 × 10 product matrix, a total of 20 primers are used to represent the row and column indicators; and a 100 test tubes are needed to represent all elements in the product matrix.

	a - j		B1 – B10	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	B6	B7	B8	B9	B10
A1	1000000000	а	0000000001 A1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
A2	0001001000	b	0100000000 A2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
A3	0000000010	С	001000000 A3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
A4	0100000000	d	1000000000 A4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A5	0000010000	×e	0000000010 ⁼ A5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
A6	0000000100	f	0000000100 A6	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
A7	0000100000	g	0001000000 A7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
A8	0010000000	h	0000010000 A8	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A9	0000000001	i	0000100000 A9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
A10	0001000000	j	0000001000 A10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Fig. 1 Two 10 x 10 matrices and its product

IV. FIXED COLUMN PRIMER

We consider a matrix problem in Figure 1. The main concept of a fixed column primer is to represent the whole set of row indicators with a fixed starting strand sequence. Instead of 10 different primer sequences as row indicators, the whole column for initial vertices is represented by one common primer sequence (m = 1). The individual rows are distinguished from each other by manipulating the different lengths for each initial vertex, to allow each element in the product matrix arrive at different path lengths, respective to only the corresponding row.

	(10-mer)	(20-mer)					(20	-mer)			
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	B1	B2	B3	B 4	B5	B6	B7	B8	B9	B10
A1	A 10	а	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60
A2	A 20	b	70	0	0	70	0	0	0	0	0	0
A3	A 30	с	0	0	0	0	80	0	0	0	0	0
A4	A 40	d	0	90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A5	A 50	e	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0
A6	A 60	f	0	0	0	0	0	110	0	0	0	0
A7	A 70	g	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	120	0
A8	A 80	h	0	0	130	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A9	A 90	i	0	0	0	0	0	0	140	0	0	0
A1(A 100	j	150	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fig. 2 Fixed	Colun	nn P	rin	ner	fo	r Iı	niti	al '	Ve	rtic	es

Figure 2 shows all strands for the initial vertices sharing a common 10-mer fixed column primer sequence A. Each row indicator is then varied in their lengths to retain their separate row distinctiveness. With a fixed column primer, only an m + n primer and ntest tubes are necessary to compute the Boolean matrix multiplication. In this case, primers required for row indicators in Figure 2 is an m = 1 and for column indicators is n = 10. This drastically reduces the total number of primers to m + n = 11. Since the fixed column primer is shared by the row indicators and the detection of path is dependent on the number of primer combinations, elements in the product matrix can be verified with only $1 \times n$ test tubes. Hence, a total of only 10 test tubes are sufficient. This drastically reduces the experimental steps needed to identify the elements in the product matrix.

The elements in the product matrix are verified by DNA sequence lengths in each column. An element in a product matrix is defined by its total length:

Total Length	=		fixed column primer		
(path)		+	additional sequence	for	initial
		+	vertex		
		+	intermediate vertex		
			terminal vertex		

V. DESIGN AND SYNTHESIS

We implemented a $(3x3) \times (3x3)$ Boolean matrix multiplication as in Figure 3 with a fixed column primer.



Fig. 3 (3x3) x (3x3) Boolean Matrix Multiplication

A 10-mer DNA sequence "tccccgttcc" is embedded in all three initial vertex sequences A10, A20, A30. Additional unique sequences are constructed to distinguish the vertices with varied lengths of 20-mer, 30-mer and 40-mer respectively. Intermediate vertices and terminal vertices are set at 20-mer each.

```
A-10 TCCCCGTTCC tattcgccta (20 mer)
A-20 TCCCCGTTCC acctcggttaaggaagtacg (30 mer)
A-30 TCCCCGTTCC ccctcttttaagcaagtaatgtactatgcg (40 mer)
```

Fig. 4 Fixed column primer sequences for Initial Vertices

The DNA sequences for all vertices are shown in Table I and the DNA sequences for the edges are shown in Table II.

Vertex	DNA Sequence (5' – 3')	Length (mer)
A10	tccccgttcctattcgccta	20
A20	tccccgttccacctcggttaaggaagtacg	30
A30	tccccgttccccctcttttaagcaagtaatgtactatgcg	40
а	tcaagcatcgggtcgcaact	20
b	cctatccacggcttggggtc	20
B1	ccaacgagggtgtattccgc	20
B2	ctcagtgccgaaccttgcct	20
В3	aggacatacagaggcgggca	20

 TABLE I

 DNA SEQUENCES FOR VERTICES WITH FIXED COLUMN

TABLE II DNA SEQUENCES FOR DIRECTED EDGES

Edges	DNA Sequence $(5' - 3')$	Length (mer)
A10a	cgatgcttgataggcgaata	20
A20b	cgtggataggcgtacttcct	20
A30a	cgatgcttgacgcatagtac	20
aB1	ccctcgttggagttgcgacc	20
bB2	cggcactgaggaccccaagc	20
bB3	tgtatgtcctgaccccaagc	20

VI. EXPERIMENT

All synthesized DNA sequences for vertices and edges are poured together into a single T0. Parallel Overlap Assembly method is used to generate an initial pool containing all possible solution to the problem. The initial pool generation is performed in a solution containing 67.5µl ddH20 (Maxim Biotech), 1µl for every DNA strand (oligo) (Proligo Primers & Probes), 10µl of dNTP (TOYOBO, Japan), 10µl KOD dash buffer (TOYOBO, Japan) and 0.5µl KOD dash (TOYOBO, Japan). The solution is run for 25 cycles with the first stage of 90°C for 30 seconds, second stage of 55°C for 30 seconds and third stage of 74°C for 10 seconds per cycle. At the end of the POA cycles, the strands for "paths" are formed.

PCR is conducted for all test tubes in Table III, each containing 13.875 μ l ddH20 (Maxim Biotech), 1 μ l of template DNA from POA process, 2.5 μ l of dNTP (TOYOBO, Japan), 2.5 μ l KOD dash buffer (TOYOBO, Japan) and 0.125 μ l KOD dash (TOYOBO, Japan) and 2.5 μ l of each primer combination. The solutions are spun for 13000 rpm in 25 °C for 5 minutes before running them for 25 cycles with the first stage of 90°C for 30 seconds, second stage of 55°C for 30 seconds and third stage of 74°C for 10 seconds per cycle.

VII. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The experimental results are shown in Figure 4.

XYZ	Paths:
X-60	$\begin{array}{c} A10 \rightarrow b \rightarrow X = 60 \text{ b.p.} \\ (20) (20) (20) \end{array}$
	$\begin{array}{l} A20 \rightarrow a \rightarrow Y = 70 \text{ b.p.} \\ (30) (20) (20) \end{array}$
	$\begin{array}{c} A20 \rightarrow a \rightarrow Z = 70 \text{ b.p.} \\ (30) (20) (20) \end{array}$
70 bp	$\begin{array}{l} A30 \rightarrow c \rightarrow X = 80 \text{ b.p.} \\ (40) (20) (20) \end{array}$

Fig. 4 Results from Gel Electrophoresis

The lanes for each test tube representing the columns X, Y and Z show the read-outputs for the Boolean matrix computation. From the results, lanes for column Y and Z yield 70 b.p. highlighted bands which are consistent with our predicted outcomes. However, the

lane for column X is devoid of such highlighted band. We conduct a second PCR to confirm whether the predicted 60 b.p. and 80 b.p. length paths exist for the column X and the results are successful. Both lanes for X-60 and X-80 yield highlighted bands.

The primer A has no problem identifying and amplifying all strands with the defined starting sequences. However, the non-existence of highlighted bands for 60 b.p and 80 b.p in lane X when the paths of 60 b.p and 80 b.p actually exist indicates a problem in Step 4. While the mass amplification works successfully for identification of one type of path strand in a tube (Y and Z), it was less successful in amplifying more than one path in a tube (X).

Another problem with using the fixed column primer algorithm is the restriction of length for initial vertex sequence strands. While in theory, the length of the initial vertex sequence is varied to retain the rows distinctiveness, in actual there is a practical limit to the length of vertex sequence for effective construction of path. Generated DNA sequence strands longer than 80 b.p immediately increase in its melting temperature with some strands having $\geq 100^{\circ}$ C. This will cause a problem in the processes employed to implement Step2 and Step4 which have three temperature stages. Vast differences in melting temperatures between the shorter and longer strands will cause the strands with higher melting temperature to not anneal when the shorter strands with lower melting temperature do. Similarly, the shorter strands with lower melting temperature will not denature when the longer strands do which leads to mishybridizations and incomplete paths.

Proper design of oligonucleotides is important for a successful implementation in DNA computing. Hybridizations between DNA molecules work as an information carrier that executes the computation. Therefore, mishybridizations such as based mismatches, shifted hybridizations, and hairpin formations can lead to fatal errors during the implementation steps. While both careful encoding and external reaction conditions such as salt and temperature can be adjusted to minimize errors, some mishybridizations are due to occur as the implementation of DNA computing is a wet-lab process than is unstable. Therefore, realistic precautions and problem anticipation must be taken into account while designing the sequences for computation.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

We proposed a fixed column primer to reduce the material consumption and experimental works for computing Boolean matrix multiplication with DNA computing. The results successfully yield predicted outcomes of constructed path but the extraction process is less effective.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The first author would like to thank University Malaysia Sarawak and Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia for supporting the study leave.

REFERENCES

- R. Deaton, M. Garzon, J. Rose, D.R Franceschetti and S.E Stevens Jr, "DNA Computing: A Review", Funfamenta Informaticae, IOS Press, Vol 30, pp. 23-41, 1997
- [2] N. Rajaee, Y. Kon, K. Yabe and O. Ono, Matrix multiplication with DNA based computing: a comparison study between hybridization-ligation and parallel overlap assembly, Proc. of the 4th IEEE International Conference on Natural Computation, Jinan, China, pp. 527-530, 2008.
- [3] K.H Zimmerman, Efficient DNA sticker algorithm for NP-complete graph problems, Computer Physics Communications 144, pp. 297-309, 2002.
 [4] L. Adleman, "Molecular computation of solutions to
- [4] L. Adleman, "Molecular computation of solutions to combinatorial problems," Science, vol. 266, 1994, pp. 1021-1024.
- [5] Martyn Amos, Theoretical and Experimental DNA Computation, Natural Computing Series, Springer, 2004
- [6] N. Rajaee, Y. Kon, K. Yabe and O. Ono, Matrix multiplication with DNA based computing: a comparison study between hybridization-ligation and parallel overlap assembly, Proc. of the 4th IEEE International Conference on Natural Computation, Jinan, China, pp. 527-530, 2008.
- [7] R. Agrawal, F. Gustavson and M. Zubair, A high-performance matrix multiplication algorithm on a distributed memory parallel computer using overlapped communication, IBM Journal of Research and Development, vol 38, no. 6, pp 673-681, 1994.
- [8] P. D. Kaplan, D.S. Thaler and A. Libchaber, Parallel overlap assembly of paths through a directed graph, Preliminary Proc. of the 3rd DIMACS Workshop on DNA based computers, Philadelphia PA, pp. 127-141, 1997.
- [9] R. Bakar, J. Watada and W. Pedrycz, A proximity approach to DNA based clustering analysis, International Journal of Innovative Computing, Information and Control, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. 1203-1212, 2008.