# Synchronous Reluctance Motor Speed Drive Using Sliding Mode Controller Based on Gaussian Radial Basis Function Neural Network

Chien-An Chen<sup>1</sup>, Huann-Keng Chiang<sup>2</sup> and Wen-Bin Lin<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Graduate School of Engineering Science & Technology, National Yunlin University of Science & Technology, Taiwan

<sup>2</sup> Department of Electrical Engineering, National Yunlin University of Science & Technology, Taiwan

<sup>3</sup> Department of Optoelectronic Engineering, Far East University, Taiwan

*Fax* :+886-5-5312065

E-mail: Chianghk@yuntech.edu.tw

*Abstract*: In this paper, a sliding mode control (SMC) design based on Gaussian radial basis function neural network (GRBFNN) is proposed for the synchronous reluctance motor (SynRM) system robust stabilization and disturbance rejection. This method utilizes Lyapunov function and the steep descent rule to guarantee the convergence of the SynRM drive system asymptotically. Finally, we employ the experiments to validate the proposed method.

*Keywords*: Sliding Mode Control, Radial Basis Function Neural Network, synchronous reluctance motor, Lyapunov function.

### **I. INTRODUCTION**

In recent decade years, the SynRM [1,2] has been a renewed interesting research subject. The rotor circuit of the SynRM is opened such that the flux linkage of SynRM is directly proportional to the stator currents.

The fast and no error dynamic response is a primary topic in control systems. In real worlds, the real servo systems always include parameter variations and external load disturbances. The sliding mode control [3] has been proven as an effective and robust control technology to overcome the uncertainties in the SynRM [4,5]. The uncertainties, parameter variations and/or external disturbances can be rejected for the sliding mode control when the upper lump uncertainty boundary of the systems is known. In real applications, uncertainty boundaries can easily exceed the assumed magnitude range, under which the sliding mode can not be used. Using high gain control to improve disturbance rejection has been proposed [6]. However, it produces unnecessary deviations from the switching manifold and causes chattering in the control system. Serious chattering can reduce by using the boundary layer which the signum function is replaced by the saturation function. However, it produces the steady state errors. In recent years, some researchers [7,8] proposed the methods to find the uncertainty upper boundaries and reduce the steady state error. Their major concept is to estimate the bounded uncertainties in real-time for the controlled system. Hence, the control signal of the controller is smaller than the conventional sliding mode controller and the chattering phenomenon is also reduced.

In recent years, the neural network of intelligent control has been applied in some motor speed control systems [9,10]. The neural network control does not require mathematical model to approximate nonlinear systems. The radial basis function neural network (RBFNN) theory [11] employs local receptive fields to perform function mapping based on biological receptive fields. The RBFNN is a multilayer perceptron (MLP) feedforward neural network structure. It has been successfully employed in the area of motor control field [12,13]. The RBFNN control1er is an effective method when the systems mathematical model is unknown, or known with uncertainties.

RBFNN [14] is a three-layer feedforward neural network structure. It has the nonlinear transformation of Gaussian basis function in the hidden layer and output layer is the linear combination of hidden layer responses. We proposed the SMC design based on RBFNN concept of SynRM system which the upper lump uncertainty system doesn't know. The SMC is replaced by RBFNN which the sliding surface function S and system control u is the mapping input and output function, respectively. The RBFNN doesn't use the signum function control element. Hence, this system reduces chattering phenomenon and has the response more smooth.

### **II. MODELING OF THE SYNRM**

The d-q equivalent voltage equations of the SynRM with the synchronously rotating rotor reference frame are represented as

$$V_{ds} = R_s i_{ds} + L_{ds} \frac{di_{ds}}{dt} - \omega_r L_{qs} i_{qs}$$
(1)

$$V_{qs} = R_s i_{qs} + L_{qs} \frac{di_{qs}}{dt} + \omega_r L_{ds} i_{ds}$$
(2)

where the  $V_{ds}$  and  $V_{qs}$  are direct and quadrature axis terminal voltages, respectively. The  $i_{ds}$  and  $i_{qs}$  are, respectively, direct axis and quadrature axis terminal currents or the torque producing current. The  $L_{ds}$  and  $L_{qs}$ are the direct and quadrature axis magnetizing inductances, respectively. The  $R_s$  is the stator resistance and  $\omega_r$  is the speed of the rotor.

The corresponding electromagnetic torque  $T_e$  and m otor dynamic equation are given as following

$$T_{e} = \frac{3}{2} P(L_{ds} - L_{qs}) i_{ds} i_{qs}$$
(3)

$$T_{e} - T_{L} = J \frac{d\omega_{r}}{dt} + B\omega_{r}$$
(4)

where P,  $T_L$ , J and B are the pair of poles, the torque load, the inertia moment of rotor and the viscous friction coefficient, respectively.

The current angle for the maximum power factor control (MPFC) strategy is  $\phi = \pm \tan^{-1}(\sqrt{\frac{L_{w}}{L_{w}}})$  [2]. Therefore by electromagnetic torque (3), we can find the torque current command as following

$$I_s = \sqrt{i_{ds}^2 + i_{qs}^2}$$
(5)

$$i_{ds}^{*} = \sqrt{\frac{\left|T_{e}^{*}\right|}{\frac{3}{4}P(L_{ds} - L_{qs})}} \cos(\tan^{-1}(\sqrt{L_{e}/L_{qs}})) \quad (6)$$

$$i_{qs}^{*} = sign \left(T_{e}^{*}\right) \sqrt{\frac{\left|T_{e}^{*}\right|}{\frac{3}{4}P(L_{ds} - L_{qs})}} sin(tan^{-1}(\sqrt{L_{p'}/L_{qs}}))$$
(7)

## **III. SLIDING MODE CONTROL (SMC)**

We can rewrite the motor dynamic equation of (4) as follows:

$$\dot{\omega}_r = \left(-\frac{B_m}{J_m}\right)\omega_r + \left(\frac{1}{J_m}\right)(T_e - T_L)$$

$$= a\omega_r + b(T_e - T_L) \qquad (8)$$

$$= a_e\omega_r + b_e(u(t) + f)$$

where

$$a = -\frac{B_m}{J_m} = a_o + \Delta a$$
  

$$b = \frac{1}{J_m} = b_o + \Delta b$$
  

$$u = T_e$$
  

$$f = \frac{1}{b_o} (\Delta a \omega_r + \Delta b u(t) - b T_L)$$
  

$$J_m \equiv J_{mo} + \Delta J_m$$
  

$$B_m \equiv B_{mo} + \Delta B_m$$

The subscript index "o" indicates nominal system value; " $\Delta$ " symbol expresses uncertainty, and f is

the lump uncertainty. Defining the velocity error  $e(t) = \omega_{ref} - \omega_r$ ,  $\omega_{ref}$  is the velocity command.

The sliding function is defined as

$$S = e(t) + c \int_{-\infty}^{t} e(\tau) d\tau, \ c > 0 \qquad (9)$$

The input control u(t) (the electromagnetic torque  $T_e$ ) can be defined

$$u(t) = u_{eq}(t) + u_n(t)$$
 (10)

To satisfy equivalent control concept  $\dot{S}(e) = 0$ , we get

$$\dot{S} = (\dot{\omega}_{ref} - a_o \omega_r - b_o u_{eq} + ce) - b_o (u_n + f)$$
(11)  
Let  $|f| \le K$ , we set

$$u_{eq} = \frac{1}{b_o} (\dot{\omega}_{ref} - a_o \omega_r + ce)$$
(12)

 $u_n = Ksign(S)$  or  $u_n = Ksat(S)$  (13)

Hence, the sliding condition S(e)S(e) < 0 can be guaranteed. The chattering phenomenon exists in the  $sign(\cdot)$  function and steady state error exists in  $sat(\cdot)$  function

# IV. SLIDING MODE CONTROLLER BASED ON RBFNN

In real world, most of the physical systems have certain nonlinear and various uncertainties. However, specific and reliable system uncertainty boundaries are difficult obtained for practical applications. Therefore, a model-free neural network (NN) control [15] was employed for controlling dynamic absorbers without knowing the systems model. The error back propagation NN has the disadvantages of slower learning speed and local minimal convergence. Hence, we use the RBFNN to solve these problems and develop a model-free controller structure based on RBFNN. The structure of the SMC based on RBFNN model is shown in Fig. 1. The RBFNN model has *j* receptive field units. We select the Gaussian function  $\varphi_i(S) =$  $\exp\left(-\left(S-c_i\right)^2/(2b_i^2)\right)$  as the receptive field units, where S is the sliding surface function and  $c_i, b_i$  are the spread factor and central position of the Gaussian function, respectively. j is the number of hidden layer neurons. The output u of the RBFNN is the sum of weights which the output can be described as

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^{5} w_{j} \varphi_{j}(S)$$
 (14)

$$\varphi_j(S) = \exp\left(-\frac{(S-c_j)^2}{2b_j^2}\right), \quad j = 1, \dots, 5$$
 (15)

where

$$\boldsymbol{\theta} = \left[\varphi_1(S), \varphi_2(S), \cdots, \varphi_5(S)\right]^T, \quad \boldsymbol{W} = \left[w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_5\right]^T$$

$$c_1 = \frac{2000\pi}{6}, c_2 = \frac{2000\pi}{12}, c_3 = 0, c_4 = -\frac{2000\pi}{12}, c_5 = -\frac{2000\pi}{6}$$
  
$$b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = b_4 = b_5 = 500\pi$$

According the SMC reaching condition  $S\dot{S} < 0$ , the adaptive rules of this structure derive from the steep descent method to minimize the value of the performance index  $S\dot{S}$  with the weight  $w_j$  as follows:

$$\dot{w}_{j} = -\eta \frac{\partial SS}{\partial w_{j}} = -\eta \frac{\partial SS}{\partial u} \frac{\partial u}{\partial w_{j}}$$
(16)  
=  $\eta \cdot S \cdot h \cdot \varphi_{j}(S)$ 

where  $\eta$  is the learning rate.



Fig. 1. The structure of the RBFNN model

#### **V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

The block diagram of the experimental SynRM system is shown in Fig. 2. The controller is adopted by a dSPACE DS1104 control board. The nominal parameters of 0.37 KW three-phase SynRM are shown in Table 1. The sampling period of control rules is set as 0.3 *m* sec. The reference model transfer function of Fig.

2 is set as  $\frac{\omega_{ref}(s)}{\omega_r^*(s)} = \frac{81}{s^2 + 18s + 81}$ , where s is the

Laplace operator. Fig. 3 shows the response for the reference command of is  $\omega_r^* = 500 \text{ rev/min}$  under a 0.3 Nt-m machine load at the beginning. In Fig. 4, the reference command is  $\omega_r^* = 500 \text{ rev/min}$  under a 0.3 Nt-m machine load at the beginning and a 1.0Nt-m load disturbance is added at *t*=5 sec. From Fig. 3 and 4, the proposed controller has good velocity performance.

Table 1. The parameters of SynRM (0.37KW)

$R_s = 4.2\Omega$	P = 1
$L_{ds} = 0.328 H (f = 60 Hz)$	$L_{qs} = 0.181 \text{ H} (f = 60 \text{ Hz})$
$J_{mo} = 0.00076 \ kg - m^2$	$B_{mo} = 0.00012 Nt - m/rad/sec$
<i>c</i> = 6	$\eta = 0.0086$



Fig. 2. The block diagram of the experimental SynRM drive system



Fig. 3. Experimental results of the SMC based on RBFNN due to  $\omega_r^* = 500$  rev/min under a 0.3 Nt-m machine load at the beginning (a) rotor velocity (b) sliding function (c) weights



Fig. 4. Experimental results of the SMC based on RBFNN due to  $\omega_r^* = 500$  rev/min under 0.3Nt-m to 1.0Nt-m load torque step disturbance. (a) rotor velocity (b) sliding function (c) weights

### **VI. CONCLUSION**

In this paper, a complete model analysis for the sliding mode controller based on radial basis function neural network of SynRM speed drive is presented. The proposed RBFNN is employed to model the relationship between the sliding function and the systems control law in real-time which has the adaptive rules. It derives from the steep descent method to minimize the value of the performance index  $S\dot{S}$ . Hence, the chattering problem can be minimized with the proposed control. Finally, we employ the experimental results to validate the proposed method.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work is supported by the National Science Council in Taiwan, Republic of China, through grant NSC97-2221-E- 224-065.

### REFERENCES

[1] Miller TJE, et al. (1991), Design of a synchronous reluctance motor drive. IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications 27(4):741-749

[2] Betz RE, Lagerquist R and Jovanovic M (1993), Control of synchronous reluctance machines. IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications 29:1110-1121

[3] Utkin VI, Guldner J, and Shi J (1999), Sliding mode control in electromechanicals systems. Taylor & Francis
[4] Shyu KK, Lai CK, and Tsai YW (2000), Optimal position control of synchronous reluctance motor via totally invariant variable structure control. IEE Proceedings-control Theory and Applications 147(1):28-36

[5] Chiang HK and Tseng CH (2004), Design and implementation of a grey sliding mode controller for synchronous reluctance motor drive. Control Engineering Practice 12(2):155-163

[6] Asada H and Slotine JJ (1986), Robot analysis and control. Wiley, New York

[7] Chiang HK and Tseng CH (2004), Integral variable structure controller with grey prediction for synchronous reluctance motor drive. IEE Proceedings-Electric Power Applications 151(3):349-358

[8] Lin FJ, et al. (2000), Decoupled stator-flux-oriented induction motor drive with fuzzy neural network uncertainty observer. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics 47(2):356-367

[9] Chen TC and Sheu TT (2002), Model reference neural network controller for induction motor speed control. IEEE Trans Energy Conversion 17(2):157-163

[10] Lin Z, et al (2007), Online modeling for switched reluctance motors using B-spline neural networks. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics 54(6):3317-3322

[11] Jang JSR and Sun CT (1993), Functional equivalence between radial basis function networks and fuzzy inference systems. IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks 4(1):156-159

[12] Lin FJ, Teng LT and Shieh PH (2007), Intelligent sliding-mode control using RBFN for magnetic levitation system. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics 54(3):1752-1762

[13] Park JW, Venayagamoorthy GK and Harley RG (2005), MLP/RBF neural-networks-based online global model identification of synchronous generator. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics 52(6):1685-1695

[14] Huang SJ, Huang KS and Chiou KC (2003), Development and Application of a Novel Radial Basis Function Sliding Mode Controller. Mechatronics 13: 313-329

[15] Huang SJ and Lian RJ (1996), A combination of fuzzy logic and neural network algorithms for ac tive vibration control. Proceedings of the institution o f mechanical engineers 210:153-167