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#### Abstract

The routing algorithm of SPF (Shortest Path First) [1] is widely distributed in large scale network such as internet. Since this routing algorithm is designed in order to improve throughput of each packet which is sequentially generated at the nodes, it is not suitable for averaging load balance in the network. The enzymic feedback in the cell is the typical and basic control mechanism which can realize homeostasis of the value of every reactant in the metabolic pathway. The purpose of this study is to design an adaptive routing in which the packets generated at the nodes can be sent to the final destinations with avoiding the partial and time-variant traffics in the network, and the load balance in the network can be averaged. We have proposed here a new adaptive routing algorithm by introducing an enzymatic feedback control mechanism in the cell.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

The metabolic pathway in the cell is so-called "a stream of water" and is composed of a lot of enzymic reaction steps in which reactant (substrate) is converted to the product by unique "enzyme" (catalyzed protein), and the produced product is converted to the product by enzyme at the subsequent reaction step and so on. Enzymes are proteins which catalyze the turnover of substrates without being consumed themselves and without changing the
equilibrium of the biochemical reaction. In metabolic pathways, the product of a late (or the last) step frequently acts as an inhibitor of the first committed step in this pathway ("negative feedback control"). This way, the end product of a pathway controls its own synthesis and prevents useless accumulation of intermediates. Enzymic feedback control can be considered to be a bandwidth control; rate velocity of consumption of substrate can be represented by the function of substrate (A) and feedback inhibitor (B). There are so many function mechanisms of feedback control in the cell, however, for example, when the B is assumed to control the rate velocity of A with a manner of competitive inhibition [2] (one of the feedback functions), the rate velocity of $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{A}] / \mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{t}$ represents time) can be mathematically written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{~A}] / \mathrm{dt}=-\frac{V \max [A]}{K m(1+[B] / K i)+[A]} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where Vmax represents maximum velocity (reaction rate) of enzyme activity, Km is the value of substrate giving $0.5 \operatorname{Vmax}, K i$ is the feedback coefficient. Anyway, $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{A}] / \mathrm{dt}$ is the function of the substrate $A$ and the feedback inhibitor $B$. In the case of accumulation of $B$, the absolute value of the term in the right-side of eq.(1) become to be small. Since the B is the end product of the pathway, we can easily considered that the accumulation of B corresponds to be "traffic" of the pathway; the absolute value of the term in the right-side of eq.(1) represents new metric of "traffic" from the view point of network routing. Thus, in this study, we define the following metric of routing by mimicking enzymic
feedback control in the cell:

$$
\begin{align*}
k & =p / V \max  \tag{2}\\
p & =\frac{V \max [a]}{K m(1+[b] / K i)+[a]} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where [a] represents the reserved sending packet size (Byte) to the nearest node, $[\mathrm{b}]$ is the total accumulated packet size (Byte) at the nearest node, Vmax is maximum sending rate (Mbps), and $K m$ and $K i$ are the arbitrary coefficients. The value of $k$ in eq.(2) decreases with the increase in "traffic". Furthermore, we define the following weighted multi-objective $f$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=(1-\alpha) k+\alpha(1 / h) \quad 0<\alpha<1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ represents arbitrary coefficient; if $\alpha=1$, the network routing will be performed according to the SPF (Shortest Path First) algorithm.

At the branching of node-pathways, the value of $f$ at each branching pathway is calculated and it determines the node to be sent with having the larger value of $f$. This is the outline of the proposed dynamic adaptive routing algorithm where most of the packets will be sent to the final destination with escaping from the traffic nodes; the QOS (quality of service) of the proposed algorithm is expected to be "averaging the load within the network".

## 2. CASE STUDY AND VALIDATION

The following node-network was used in order to evaluate our algorithm, where the numeral (0 to 5 ) represents the node-number, and the bold line is the connection pathway between nodes:


Fig. 16 nodes-network
Supposing the three kinds of sequential packets to be sent randomly in the network (total number of packets is 300); one is the packets generated at the starting node 0 and sent to the destination node 3 , second is those generated at the
starting node 0 and sent to the destination node 2 , and the last one is those generated at the starting node 0 and sent to the destination node 5. Each packet has 3072B size and is generated at every $150 \mu \mathrm{sec}$. The maximum sending rate between binding nodes is fixed at 100 Mbps . The control packet (64B) is sending to the nearest node at every $5000 \mu$ sec. This control packet involves the information of the value of [b] (total accumulated packet size) in eq.(3). The time between the generating and arriving at the final destination (passage) of every packet and transient sending route of every packet were examined. The default route was supposed to be $0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow$ $2 \rightarrow 3$ for the packets sending to the node 3 and to be 0 $\rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2$ for the packets sending to the node 2 and to be $0 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 5$ for the packets sending to the node 5 ; the route $0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2$ is overlapped which will lead to the traffic of the packets at this route.

For comparison, the passage profile with packets to be sent was examined in the case of SPF algorithm; every packet is sent to the final destination according to the default route. The results can be summarized as follows: As shown in Fig. 2, the passage increases with the packet ID that means the traffic is occurred at the route between the nodes 0 and 2.


Fig. 2 Passage profile with packet by using SPF algorithm. The abscissa and the ordinate represent data packet ID and passage ( $\mu \mathrm{sec}$ ), respectively. A, packet sending to the nodes 2 and 3; B, packet sending to the node 5 .

Fixed the value of $\alpha$ in eq.(4) at 0.5 , the passage profile with packets to be sent was examined by introducing our
proposed algorithm. The results are shown in Fig. 3. Also the passage profiles for the packets sending to the node 3 and for those sending to the node 2 and for those sending to the node 5 are shown in Figs. 4, 5 and 6, respectively. The average, minimum and maximum passage of Figs. 2 and 3 are summarized in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Those of Figs. 4, 5 and 6 are also summarized in Tables 3,4 and 5, respectively.


Fig. 3 Passage profile with packet by using the proposed routing algorithm. The abscissa and the ordinate represent data packet ID and passage ( $\mu \mathrm{sec}$ ), respectively. The arrow shows the time-point when the control packet was sent to the nearest node.


Fig. 4 Passage profile with packet sending to the node 3 by using the proposed routing algorithm. The abscissa and the ordinate represent data packet ID and passage ( $\mu \mathrm{sec}$ ), respectively.


Fig. 5 Passage profile with packet sending to the node 2 by using the proposed routing algorithm. The abscissa and the ordinate represent data packet ID and passage ( $\mu \mathrm{sec}$ ), respectively.


Fig. 6 Passage profile with packet sending to the node 5 by using the proposed routing algorithm. The abscissa and the ordinate represent data packet ID and passage ( $\mu \mathrm{sec}$ ), respectively.

Table 1 Summary of passage profile shown in Fig. 2.

| Total number of data packets | 300 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Average of passage $(\mu \mathrm{sec})$ | 2129 |
| Standard deviation of passage | 1592 |
| Minimum passage $(\mu \mathrm{sec})$ | 490 |
| Maximum passage $\quad(\mu \mathrm{sec})$ | 6090 |

Table 2 Summary of passage profile shown in Fig. 3.

| Total number of data packets | 300 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Average of passage $(\mu \mathrm{sec})$ | 702 |
| Standard deviation of passage | 206 |
| Minimum passage $(\mu \mathrm{sec})$ | 490 |
| Maximum passage $(\mu \mathrm{sec})$ | 2205 |

Table 3 Summary of passage profile shown in Fig. 4.

| Total number of data packets | 89 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Average of passage $(\mu \mathrm{sec})$ | 831 |
| Standard deviation of passage | 217 |
| Minimum passage $(\mu \mathrm{sec})$ | 735 |
| Maximum passage $\quad(\mu \mathrm{sec})$ | 2205 |

Table 4 Summary of passage profile shown in Fig. 5.

| Total number of data packets | 114 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Average of passage $(\mu \mathrm{sec})$ | 688 |
| Standard deviation of passage | 201 |
| Minimum passage $(\mu \mathrm{sec})$ | 490 |
| Maximum passage $\quad(\mu \mathrm{sec})$ | 1455 |

Table 5 Summary of passage profile shown in Fig. 6.

| Total number of data packets | 98 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Average of passage $(\mu \mathrm{sec})$ | 601 |
| Standard deviation of passage | 123 |
| Minimum passage $(\mu \mathrm{sec})$ | 490 |
| Maximum passage $(\mu \mathrm{sec})$ | 930 |

As shown in Figs. 2 and 3, and Tables 1 to 5, most of the passages of data packets were averaged, which means that our proposed algorithm is effective for dynamic adaptive routing. According to Figs. 2 and 3, part of the transient passage profiles of packets and route sending to the final destination by using SPF algorithm and by using the proposed algorithm are summarized in Tables 6 and 7, respectively.
In Table 7, the shadowed columns represent the packets which were sent by using the non-default routes (default routes are $0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3$ for the packets sending to the node $3,0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2$ for those sending to the node 2 , and $0 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 5$ for those sending to the node 5). In Table 7, most of the packets sending to the node 2 or 5 were sent by using the default route, however, focused on the packets between 242 and 247 their routes are flexible such as $0 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 5$, $0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 5$ and $0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 5$ with escaping from the traffic nodes. The routes for the packets sending to the node 3 are most flexible; the route $0 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 3$ is another short-cut route and the routes $0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3$ and
$0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 3$ are alternative ones with escaping from the traffic nodes. Since the maximum sending rate between all binding nodes is fixed at 100 Mbps and the each data packet size is 3072 B , the minimum required time sending to the nearest node is $245 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ (minimum required time sending from the node to the node 3 is 245 x $3=735 \mu \mathrm{sec})$. The passages for $\mathrm{PID}=290,297$ and 299 are 1280, 1225 and $980 \mu \mathrm{sec}$, respectively, which were near to 245 multiplied by the number of hops; 1280 is approximately equal to $245 \times 5$, and 1225 and 980 are quite equal to $245 \times 5,245 \times 4$, respectively. These results show the proposed algorithm can find alternative non-traffic routes with considering the smaller number of hops to the destination.

Even if each packet arrives at the final destination with escaping from the traffic nodes, it is key issue that all packets, which constitute one data-file, arrive at the final destination in the order of sending from the starting node. For example, when the packet 3 arrives at the final destination prior to the packets 1 and 2 , sorting process can not be performed until both of these packets arrive. In the case where this waiting time is larger than a given threshold value, routing system should request to the sending node for re-sending of packets. In the 6-node network shown in Fig. 1, we examined the waiting time at the final destinations (node 2, 3 and 5). The simulation conditions are as follows: (1)three kinds of sequential packets to be sent randomly from node 0 . (2)total number of packets is 300 , and the number of packets to the nodes 2,3 and 5 is 100 , respectively. (3)each packet has 3072B size and is generated at every $125 \mu \mathrm{sec}$. Figure 7 shows the histogram of waiting time at the node 3 when the weighting coefficient $\alpha$ in eq. (4) is fixed at 0.5 . The ordinate represents the relative frequency at each class. The abscissa shows class of histogram, for example, 1000 and 2000 means $0-1000 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ and $1001-2000 \mu \mathrm{sec}$, respectively. Figure 7 shows the average of 10 trails. Next we change in $\alpha$ value according to the following algorithm and examined waiting time with the same conditions above:
if $($ sHop $>C n t)$
$\alpha=0.5$
if $($ sHop $\leq$ Cnt $<2 s H o p)$
$\alpha=0.5+\frac{0.2}{s H o p} \times(C n t-s H o p)$
if $($ Cnt $>2 s H o p)$
a $=0.7$
where, sHop and Cnt represent the number of the shortest hops from stating node to the final destination node, and the accumulated number of nodes for the packet to pass through, respectively.

Table 6 Transient passage profiles of packets by using SPF algorithm.

| Packet ID | generate | arrive | passage | route |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 240 | 36150 | 40500 | 4350 | $0>1>2>3$ |
| 241 | 36300 | 40500 | 4200 | $0>1>2$ |
| 242 | 36450 | 36940 | 490 | $0>4>5$ |
| 243 | 36600 | 37185 | 585 | $0>4>5$ |
| 244 | 36750 | 37430 | 680 | $0>4>5$ |
| 245 | 36900 | 37675 | 775 | $0>4>5$ |
| 246 | 37050 | 37920 | 870 | $0>4>5$ |
| 247 | 37200 | 38165 | 965 | $0>4>5$ |
| 248 | 37350 | 40990 | 3640 | $0>1>2>3$ |
| 249 | 37500 | 40990 | 3490 | $0>1>2$ |
| 250 | 37650 | 41235 | 3585 | $0>1>2$ |
| 251 | 37800 | 41480 | 3680 | $0>1>2$ |
| 252 | 37950 | 41970 | 4020 | $0>1>2>3$ |
| 275 | 41400 | 46625 | 5225 | $0>1>2>3$ |
| 276 | 41550 | 46870 | 5320 | $0>1>2>3$ |
| 277 | 41700 | 46870 | 5170 | $0>1>2$ |
| 278 | 41850 | 42340 | 490 | $0>4>5$ |
| 279 | 42000 | 47360 | 5360 | $0>1>2>3$ |
| 280 | 42150 | 42640 | 490 | $0>4>5$ |
| 281 | 42300 | 47605 | 5305 | $0>1>2>3$ |
| 282 | 42450 | 47850 | 5400 | $0>1>2>3$ |
| 283 | 42600 | 48095 | 5495 | $0>1>2>3$ |
| 284 | 42750 | 48095 | 5345 | $0>1>2$ |
| 285 | 42900 | 48340 | 5440 | $0>1>2$ |
| 286 | 43050 | 43540 | 490 | $0>4>5$ |
| 287 | 43200 | 48585 | 5385 | $0>1>2$ |
| 288 | 43350 | 48830 | 5480 | $0>1>2$ |
| 289 | 43500 | 49320 | 5820 | $0>1>2>3$ |
| 290 | 43650 | 49565 | 5915 | $0>1>2>3$ |
| 291 | 43800 | 44290 | 490 | $0>4>5$ |
| 292 | 43950 | 49565 | 5615 | $0>1>2$ |
| 293 | 44100 | 49810 | 5710 | $0>1>2$ |
| 294 | 44250 | 50055 | 5805 | $0>1>2$ |
| 295 | 44400 | 50300 | 5900 | $0>1>2$ |
| 296 | 44550 | 45040 | 490 | $0>4>5$ |
| 297 | 44700 | 50790 | 6090 | $0>1>2>3$ |
| 298 | 44850 | 45340 | 490 | $0>4>5$ |
| 299 | 45000 | 51035 | 6035 | $0>1>2>3$ |

Table 7 Transient passage profiles of packets and the route sent to
the final destination by using the proposed algorithm

| Packet ID | generate | arrive | passage | route |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 240 | 36151 | 36966 | 815 | $0>1>2>3$ |
| 241 | 36301 | 37036 | 735 | $0>4>1>2$ |
| 242 | 36451 | 37036 | 585 | $0>4>5$ |
| 243 | 36601 | 37526 | 925 | $0>1>4>5$ |
| 244 | 36751 | 37281 | 530 | $0>4>5$ |
| 245 | 36901 | 37771 | 870 | $0>4>5$ |
| 246 | 37051 | 37786 | 735 | $0>1>2>5$ |
| 247 | 37201 | 38016 | 815 | $0>4>5$ |
| 248 | 37351 | 38086 | 735 | $0>1>2>3$ |
| 249 | 37501 | 38086 | 585 | $0>1>2$ |
| 250 | 37651 | 38576 | 925 | $0>4>1>2$ |
| 251 | 37801 | 38331 | 530 | $0>1>2$ |
| 252 | 37951 | 38686 | 735 | $0>4>5>3$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 275 | 41401 | 42136 | 735 | $0>1>2>3$ |
| 276 | 41551 | 42326 | 775 | $0>4>5>3$ |
| 277 | 41701 | 42191 | 490 | $0>1>2$ |
| 278 | 41851 | 42341 | 490 | $0>4>5$ |
| 279 | 42001 | 42736 | 735 | $0>1>2>3$ |
| 280 | 42151 | 42641 | 490 | $0>4>5$ |
| 281 | 42301 | 43036 | 735 | $0>1>2>3$ |
| 282 | 42451 | 43186 | 735 | $0>4>5>3$ |
| 283 | 42601 | 43336 | 735 | $0>1>2>3$ |
| 284 | 42751 | 43336 | 585 | $0>1>2$ |
| 285 | 42901 | 43636 | 735 | $0>4>1>2$ |
| 286 | 43051 | 43636 | 585 | $0>4>5$ |
| 287 | 43201 | 43881 | 680 | $0>1>2$ |
| 288 | 43351 | 44126 | 775 | $0>1>2$ |
| 289 | 43501 | 44236 | 735 | $0>4>5>3$ |
| 290 | 43651 | 44931 | 1280 | $0>1>4>1>2>3$ |
| 291 | 43801 | 44291 | 490 | $0>4>5$ |
| 292 | 43951 | 44441 | 490 | $0>1>2$ |
| 293 | 44101 | 44931 | 830 | $0>1>2$ |
| 294 | 44251 | 45421 | 1170 | $0>4>1>2$ |
| 295 | 44401 | 45176 | 775 | $0>1>2$ |
| 296 | 44551 | 45041 | 490 | $0>4>5$ |
| 297 | 44701 | 45926 | 1225 | $0>1>4>1>2>3$ |
| 298 | 44851 | 45341 | 490 | $0>4>5$ |
| 299 | 45001 | 45981 | 980 | $0>1>4>5>3$ |

For example, in the case of packets generating at the node 0 and sending to the node 3 , sHop is 3 and when Cnt is over $3, \alpha$ value increases linearly to 0.7 with the $C n t ; \alpha$ value is 0.7 at $C n t=2 s H o p$ ( 6 in this case) and fixed at 0.7 when $C n t$ is over 2 sHop. Figure 8 shows the histogram of waiting time where $\alpha$ value is variable according the above schedule.

Compared Fig. 8 with Fig. 7, changing in $\alpha$ value is efficient method for reducing the waiting time; in Fig. 7, the sum-up of relative frequency at the classes over 4000 is $16.1 \%$, whereas in Fig. 8, the corresponding frequency is $0 \%$.

## 3. DISCUSSION

The OSPF (Open Shortest Pass First) [3] is the routing protocol by using various cost parameters as metrics; the


Fig. 7 Histogram of Waiting_time at the node 3 under fixing the $\alpha$ value in eq.(4) at 0.5 .


Fig. 8 Histogram of Waiting_time at the node 3 under the condition where the $\alpha$ value changes with schedule (5).
followings are considered to be cost parameter: reliability, delay, bandwidth, load, maximum transfer unit, communication cost. In this study, we proposed here eqs. (2), (3) and (4) by mimicking the mechanism of enzymatic feedback function in the cell. As shown in eq. (3), the p is the integrated parameter considering both the current traffic status between the self-node and the nearest bonding node (the [a] in eq. (3) numerically reflects this information) and the most recent traffic status between the nearest bonding node and the subsequent nodes (the [b] in eq. (3) numerically reflects this information). The Km represents the [a] value giving the half speed of maximum sending rate (Vmax); the smaller Km value gives the steeper decrease of p -value. The Ki determines steepness of the $p$-value $v s$. [a]-value; the smaller $K i$ value represents the stronger feedback control. In metabolic pathways in
the cell we can observe various kinds of feedback function mechanisms except for eq. (1) or (2) [2]. These functions including eq. (3) have high possibility to be acceptable as new metrics in OSPF.
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